

|           |            |                             |
|-----------|------------|-----------------------------|
| Received  | 2025/09/23 | تم استلام الورقة العلمية في |
| Accepted  | 2025/10/17 | تم قبول الورقة العلمية في   |
| Published | 2025/10/19 | تم نشر الورقة العلمية في    |

## Association of Maternal ABO and RH Blood Groups with Demographic and Anthropological Factors in Libya

Mabroukah Turfayah<sup>1\*</sup>, Adham Alshuwayhidi<sup>2</sup>, Soad Ajroud<sup>3</sup>

1 Clinical Laboratory, Al-Wahda Teaching Therapeutic Hospital  
Derna, Libya

2 College of Medical Technology, Derna, Libya

3 Gynaecology and obstetrics department, Derna university, faculty of  
medicine, and Obstetrics and gynaecology ward, Al-Wahda Teaching  
Therapeutic Hospital, Derna, Libya.

Correspondence author: [mabrokatarfaya@yahoo.com](mailto:mabrokatarfaya@yahoo.com)

<https://orcid.org/0009-0000-4244-7853>

### Abstract:

The ABO and Rh blood types are crucial to transfusion counselling, anthropology, and population genetics. They reveal significant differences in blood types, which can consequence the mother's health and the outcome of the pregnancy. There is no information available on the blood types among pregnant Libyan women. The project goals of this study are to gain a deeper understanding of population genetics awareness in the eastern part of the Libyan country by examining the relationship between the ABO and Rh blood types and the sociodemographic characteristics of pregnant women in Derna city and its suburbs, with the aim of enhancing population genetics awareness. Research methodology was conducted on 5012 pregnant women who were hospitalised on the obstetric ward of Al-Wahda Teaching Hospital. The blood collected in EDTA tubes is used to determine the ABO and Rh group phenotypes using the erythrocyte cell-slide technique. Moreover, sociodemographic information was examined from medical records to determine if there were any correlations with the distribution of blood groups. The SPSS program is used to analyse data. The finding explains an association between ABO phenotypes and some demographic factors, including residence. There were significant differences in the ethnic composition among cities and various suburbs, with O+ being the most prevalent in Derna city and Ain

Mara countryside. However, particular areas had more A+ in Al Qubba city and unique fingerprints of the Qayqab rural area B+ groups than others. Other rural areas also have an ABO fingerprint structure that differs from that of other areas. significant association between blood groups and maternal age ( $p = 0.043$ ) and gestational age ( $p = 0.045$ ). Additionally, no association with parity, gravida, or abortion. The conclusion of the ABO fingerprints of this study is as follows: O+>A+>B+>AB+>O->A->B->AB-; The prevalence of O+ among population studies and slightly increased Rh-negative rates align with regional genetic patterns, highlighting the importance of personalized management plans. By revealing the distribution of blood types among demographic factors, we can establish a benchmark for managing the national healthcare sector, improve the humanization of blood bank resources and antenatal care, and contribute to anthropological studies in Libya.

**Keywords:** ABO, Rh, social-demographic factors, abortion, and gestational age.

## دراسة علاقة فصائل الأمهات وعامل الريزوس بالعوامل الديموغرافية والأنثروبولوجية في ليبيا

مبروكة طرفاية <sup>1</sup> ID\*، أدهم الشويهدى <sup>2</sup>، سعاد عجروود <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> المختبر السريري، مستشفى الوحدة العلاجي التعليمي، درنة، ليبيا

<sup>2</sup> طب المختبرات، كلية التقنية الطبية، درنة، ليبيا

<sup>3</sup> النساء والتوليد، مستشفى الوحدة العلاجي التعليمي، درنة، ليبيا

### ملخص الدراسة:

تُعد فصائل الدم ABO وعامل الريزوس Rh ذات أهمية كبيرة في مجال نقل الدم، والأنثروبولوجيا، وعلم الوراثة السكانية. وقد كشفت اختلافات بالغة الأهمية عن فصائل الدم ومدى تأثيرها على صحة الأم ونتائج الحمل. ولا توجد معلومات متاحة حول فصائل الدم بين النساء الحوامل في ليبيا. لذلك هدفت هذه الدراسة إلى تعزيز الوعي بعلم الوراثة السكانية في الجزء الشرقي من ليبيا، من خلال دراسة العلاقة بين فصائل الدم ABO

و Rh عامل الريزوس والخصائص الاجتماعية والديموغرافية للنساء الحوامل في مدينة درنة وضواحيها. وتم إجراء الدراسة على 5012 امرأة حامل دخلن لقسم التوليد بمستشفى الوحدة التعليمي العلاجي. وتم جمع الدم في أنابيب تحتوي علي مادة EDTA لتحديد فصائل الدم باستخدام تقنية تكتل كرات الدم الحمراء على الشريحة. كما تم فحص المعلومات الاجتماعية والديموغرافية من السجلات الطبية لدراسة وجود ارتباطات مع توزيع فصائل الدم. وتم استخدام برنامج SPSS لتحليل البيانات. وأظهرت النتائج وجود ارتباط بين نظامي فصائل دم ABO وعامل الريزوس RH وبعض العوامل الديموغرافية من ضمنها مكان إقامة الامهات. وتفاوتت التراكيب العرقية بين المدن والضواحي، حيث كان فصيلة O+ الأكثر انتشارًا في مدينة درنة وحدى ضواحي المدينة وهي عين مارة ، بينما سجلت مناطق اخرى مثل مدينة القبة وريف القيقب انتشارًا أكبر لفصيلة A+ و B+ على التوالي، مع وجود تركيبات فريدة من فصائل الدم في بعض المناطق الريفية الأخرى. كما لوحظ ارتباط معنوي بين فصائل الدم وعمر الأم بقيمة ( $p = 0.043$ ) وكذلك عمر الحمل بقيمة احتمالية ( $p = 0.045$ )، بينما لم يُلاحظ ارتباط مع عدد مرات الولادات وتكرار عدد الإجهاضات. الخلاصة النهائية لترتيب تكرارات البصمة الوراثية لفصائل الدم ABO وعامل الريزوس Rh في هذه الدراسة على النحو الاتي ( $O+ > A+ > B+ > AB-$ ) كما أن انتشار فصيلة O+ وازدياد انتشار نسب Rh السالب بشكل طفيف يتماشى مع الأنماط الجينية الإقليمية، مما يبرز أهمية وضع خطط إدارة شخصية. ومن خلال الكشف عن توزيع فصائل الدم بين العوامل الديموغرافية، يمكننا وضع معيار لإدارة القطاع الصحي الوطني، وتحسين ادارته الموارد البشرية لبنوك الدم والرعاية اثناء الحمل والمتابعة، وكذلك المساهمة في الدراسات ومعرفة الأنتروبولوجية في ليبيا. هذه الدراسة تمثل خطوة مهمة لفهم العوامل الوراثية والديموغرافية التي تؤثر على صحة الام في ليبيا، وتوفير قاعدة علمية لتحسين خدمات الرعاية الصحية.

**الكلمات الدالة:** فصائل الدم، عامل الريزوس، العوامل الاجتماعية والديموغرافية، الإجهاض، عمر الحمل.

#### Abstract:

The ABO and Rh blood types are crucial to transfusion counselling, anthropology, and population genetics. They reveal significant differences in blood types, which can consequence the mother's health and the outcome of the pregnancy. There is no information

available on the blood types among pregnant Libyan women. The project goals of this study are to gain a deeper understanding of population genetics awareness in the eastern part of the Libyan country by examining the relationship between the ABO and Rh blood types and the sociodemographic characteristics of pregnant women in Derna city and its suburbs, with the aim of enhancing population genetics awareness. Research methodology was conducted on 5012 pregnant women who were hospitalised on the obstetric ward of Al-Wahda Teaching Hospital. The blood collected in EDTA tubes is used to determine the ABO and Rh group phenotypes using the erythrocyte cell-slide technique. Moreover, sociodemographic information was examined from medical records to determine if there were any correlations with the distribution of blood groups. The SPSS program is used to analyse data. The finding explains an association between ABO phenotypes and some demographic factors, including residence. There were significant differences in the ethnic composition among cities and various suburbs, with O+ being the most prevalent in Derna city and Ain Mara countryside. However, particular areas had more A+ in Al Qubba city and unique fingerprints of the Qayqab rural area B+ groups than others. Other rural areas also have an ABO fingerprint structure that differs from that of other areas. significant association between blood groups and maternal age ( $p = 0.043$ ) and gestational age ( $p = 0.045$ ). Additionally, no association with parity, gravida, or abortion. The conclusion of the ABO fingerprints of this study is as follows: O+>A+>B+>AB+>O->A->B->AB-; The prevalence of O+ among population studies and slightly increased Rh-negative rates align with regional genetic patterns, highlighting the importance of personalized management plans. By revealing the distribution of blood types among demographic factors, we can establish a benchmark for managing the national healthcare sector, improve the humanization of blood bank resources and antenatal care, and contribute to anthropological studies in Libya.

**Keywords:** ABO, Rh, social-demographic factors, abortion, and gestational age.

### Introduction:

In 1930, the Nobel Prize was awarded to the Australian immunohematologist Karl Landsteiner, following the ABO blood group system discovered in 1900.[1] He was also recognised for his

contribution to the discovery of the Rh system, which he co-discovered with Alexander Wiener in 1940.[1]

Recently, the ABO and RH systems have occupied the top of the healthcare management pyramid in medical transfusion and forensic medicine.[2-4] They provide reliable personal and geographical information, study community demography, anthropology, forensic pathology, inheritance patterns, and ancestral relationships of human populations, and study evolutionary genetics and disease genetic markers.[5, 6] ABO blood type antigens become permanent throughout an individual's lifespan,[7] and the distribution of blood types differs across various civilizations, ethnicities, and geographical areas over time.[8, 9]

Pregnancy and childbirth are two natural life phenomena that increase the number of the human community. [6, 10] Therefore, the study association, if any, between the maternal ABO and Rh blood grouping systems and demographic factors such as maternal age, number of children, abortion, chance of pregnancy, and resident locality is of interest. That improves understanding of the quality of ethnic groups and genetic variation.[5]

A variety of research has been conducted worldwide to determine the prevalence rates of blood types and to examine the relationship between demographic and anthropometric characteristics, such as geographical factors and population boundaries.[11] In fact, in Libya, there is a lack of this type of study, especially for pregnant women. Therefore, we are currently studying the prevalence of maternal blood group types and their association with sociodemographic factors to help understand population probabilities. An understanding of Libyan anthropology is essential for comprehending the structure of Libyan society and the distribution of its tribes, which will contribute to the enhancement of healthcare facilities.

## Research Methodology

### Study Demographics

The present investigation was performed in Al Wahda Teaching Therapeutic Hospital, Derna, northeastern Libya. This health center acts as the chief autoreactive clinic in the city and is actively involved in affording health and healing services to the citizens of Derna and its districts. The sample population comprised 5012 pregnant cases who were recruited from the obstetrics and gynecology departments of Al Wahda Hospital, Derna. The

pregnant cases joined the hospital for normal spontaneous vaginal and caesarean deliveries.

### **Sample Size and Population**

A total of 5,012 pregnant cases were enrolled in this research. They were recruited from the obstetrics and gynaecology department of Al Wahda Hospital, Derna. The study population reflected a geographic cross-section of women from the urban Derna and its suburban communities, encompassing all cases of both spontaneous vaginal and surgical caesarean deliveries recorded at the facility during the study period.

### **Study Design and Data Collection**

The current research work employed a retrospective, descriptive cohort design, with a cohort period spanning four years, from early 2020 to late 2023. A comprehensive review of participant data was obtained from medical records, patient files, handwritten delivery room registries, and laboratory databases.

### **Laboratory Analysis**

3000 microliters of venous blood were withdrawn from admitted cases into an EDTA tube. The ABO and Rh blood phenotyping was resolved by the RBC cell-slide method. This analytical work was performed by a qualified laboratory medicine technologist in the hospital's blood bank unit, a routine practice within the clinical laboratory.

### **Data Analysis**

Version 29 of the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software was utilized to compare and evaluate the data. The descriptive statistics presented included the number and percentage distribution of categorical variables, as well as the mean and standard deviation. The chi-square test is exploited to reveal the difference between the observed and expected data, with values equal to or less than 0.05 considered statistically significant.

### **Results and Discussion**

A current study is underway to investigate the association between the prevalence of maternal blood groups and sociodemographic and anthropological factors among 5012 delivered women admitted to the obstetrics ward at Al Wahda Teaching Hospital. A previous study was conducted among pregnant women in Derna city, which found that the O + blood group was observed to be dominant among Libyan mothers.[12] aligning tightly with existing data from North Africa,[13] The Levant and the Arabian Peninsula,[14, 15] all of

which report O+ as the most frequent maternal blood group. Otherwise, the near absence of AB-negative blood groups among pregnant women and other findings are slightly higher in the B+ rate, at 19.9% in Derna city [12], than in southern Europe.[16] Nevertheless, lower than those in southern Asia [17], which reflects genetic cross-pollination in the Mediterranean region. The Rh-negative blood type accounts for approximately 11.27%, representing a slight increase, [12] and is notably higher in Europe, particularly among Northern Europeans, where it constitutes 7–15% of the population. The fingerprints of maternal blood groups in Derna cities and suburbs, such as O+>A+>B+>AB+>O->A->B->AB-, are described in Tables 1 and Figure 1.

Observe the results according to residence. A similar observation of this blood group's fingerprint was noted between the city of Derna and the Ain Marra rural area, located to the southwest of the city. That is, O+>A+>B+>AB+>O->A->B->AB-, and Al Qubbah city, the fingerprint is A+>O+>B+>AB+>O->A->B->AB-, while rural areas show unique deviations; there is no AB- in some of the rural areas, like Sousse, Martuba, Khalig el-Bomba, and Lamlouda. The tribal gathering of the inhabitants of the Tamimi rural area, west of Tobruk, had its own distinct character and blood group fingerprint, as it was O+ > A+ > B+ > O- > A- > No AB+, AB-, B-, and Absent RH Negative in the fingerprint of Shahat city, Qayqab, and Dhuhr el Hamer. There are also distinctive blood groups for Libyan mothers in the Ras el Hilal and Athron regions, respectively. That's it: A+ > O+ > B+ > O- > B- > No AB+, AB-, A-, and A+>O+>AB+>B+>AB->No A-, B-, O-. A markedly elevation in AB+ was observed in the following areas: surrounding Qubbah township, namely, Lamlouda(11.1%), Beit Thamer(14.3%), and Ain Marah(10.30%), as well as the Abraha rural area (11.8%) located east of the city of Shahat, while the Al-Dabusiya rural area, which is located in the northeast of the city of Al Qubbah, has something special among all the study population that represented 7.10% of the AB- blood group. And the occasional B+ grade also increased markedly in the Qayqab rural area, accounting for 47.40%.

In some cities, such as Tobruk, Al Bayda, and Benghazi, the sample size was not representative of the population, resulting in inaccurate results. Notably, the results were revealed in the cities of Al Bayda, Tobruk and Benghazi.

There are differences between urban and rural areas. The diversity of blood phenotypes in cities may have arisen due to migration, mixing, and the rapid population growth in cities compared to the countryside. This matter enhances genetic mixing between communities, while more homogeneous blood type patterns characterize remote communities or those in which relatives mix through consanguineous marriage. [6, 13]

To some extent, the results of blood group distribution become constant. However, some studies indicate that they change over time due to factors such as wars, migrations, and natural disasters, including Hurricane Daniel, which struck the city of Derna and some neighbouring areas. It may have caused the death of a third of the population and caused the migration of approximately another third. This matter will change the anthropological factors of the geographical area, and we must continue to conduct ongoing research for the years extending after Hurricane Daniel.

Table 2 explores Maternal Demographic and Obstetric Variables Across Blood Groups, including Maternal age. According to the data, the average maternal age was (mean  $\pm$  SD) 30.62 years  $\pm$  6.04, with ranges of 27.56 and 31.13 years for AB- and O-blood types, respectively. This slight change suggests that maternal blood type does not substantially influence maternal age, a conclusion supported by the P-value of 0.043, which approaches statistical significance, indicating a possible relationship between blood type and specific social or genetic characteristics of pregnant women in the studied population. Except of one study carried out at the University Teaching Hospital in Afula, Israel, which found no significant differences between blood types and mothers' ages at all (p-value of 0.98), [18] it was unable to locate any studies that support the study of the essence of maternal age during childbirth and its relationship to blood types, either in Middle Eastern cities, Mediterranean basin cities, or African countries.

The overall mean gestational age was approximately mean  $\pm$ SD (weeks +days) (38+5)  $\pm$  (2+1)) across all blood groups, with mild statistically significant differences (P = 0.045). This indicates that there is no direct association between maternal blood group and gestational age at delivery. Analogous findings were reported in a study in Pakistan, which concluded that small gestational age is independent of the maternal blood group type B and blood group A in Thailand. [19, 20] European research, including a Scottish study, has also shown an association between premature delivery rates and

maternal blood groups.[21] There is a difference in the findings of Gravida and Parity across maternal blood groups, with both Gravida ( $P = 0.367$ ) and Parity ( $P = 0.452$ ) showing no significant difference among the study population.

Many international studies, including those in Tunisia and Morocco, as well as Jourdan, reported no evidence of a correlation between blood group and parity or gravidity.[22] Large-scale studies in Europe and the United States have similarly reported no significant connection between blood group type and these obstetric sociodemographic variables.[22]

The study revealed an average of  $0.63 \pm 1.28$  abortions. Moreover, no statistical significance was observed among the blood group types ( $P = 0.278$ ), as explained in Table 3. However, the study showed that the most incidental blood type in miscarriage is blood type O-, with a rate of 38 times. The predominant regional and global literature, which generally asserts that there is no correlation between blood type and rates of spontaneous abortion, save for a few isolated studies lacking thorough validation, might be used to support this assertion.[23]

Otherwise, Table 3 presents the chi-square analysis, which demonstrates a significant relationship between maternal blood types, maternal age, and neonatal outcomes (preterm, term, and post-term), somewhat agreeing with studies in Scotland, Thailand, and Pakistan. [19-21]

The investigation indicates that specific maternal blood group categories, particularly A+, O+, and B+, as well as being middle-aged, which is the most prevalent demographic, correlate with increased risks of premature births. For instance, middle-aged mothers with A+, O+ or B+ blood types exhibit elevated preterm birth rates (A+ middle: 54.6%, O+ middle: 54.1%, B+ middle: 53%) in comparison to the same blood types in the 'Young' or 'Lady' categories.

Preterm delivery is a principal cause of neonatal morbidity and death; comprehending the underlying risk factors helps mitigate consequences such as respiratory distress, infections, and developmental deficits in neonates.[24] Table 3 allows healthcare practitioners to categorise pregnant women by risk according to their blood group and age, facilitating customised prenatal care and possible preventive measures.

The study agreement exhibits consistent findings. Additional research. Numerous studies, such as those by Parazzini et al. (2013)

and Wang and colleagues (2017), have established analogous relationships, particularly correlating blood groups A and O with an elevated risk of premature delivery, mostly in mothers aged over 30. [25, 26]

According to global trend studies in BJOG (International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology), the type of blood group might be another risk indicator for preterm delivery, particularly when combined with maternal age.[27] Other research (e.g., Smith et al., 2020) has found no significant correlation between maternal blood type and neonatal birth status, instead highlighting other sociodemographic or lifestyle variables.[18] Research sometimes suggests that the role of confounders is that when controlling for socioeconomic status, smoking, and other obstetric risk factors, the influence of blood group diminishes.[28] This data strongly suggests a statistically significant link between a mother's blood type, age, and the status of the birth. This means that blood type and age are important factors in establishing whether a woman is going to have a preterm or post-term delivery. warranting enhanced surveillance and preventative treatment for at-risk pregnant ladies.[29] The results corroborate and enhance an expanding corpus of worldwide research, although certain discrepancies exist, and advocate for the inclusion of blood type and age in prenatal risk assessment methods.[30] The overwhelming majority of published research globally concur that there are no substantial differences across blood types for the demographic and obstetric factors examined. These findings support the prevalent opinion in the scientific community that maternal blood group type has no impact on fundamental demographic or obstetric aspects.[31]

Table 4 presents the frequency of abortions and parity status among women according to maternal ABO and Rh blood groups, based on a sample of 5012 women. The frequency of abortion visits is below 3 times for the majority (82.3%). And more than 3 times (7.2%) with no statistical evidence, according to the maternal blood group distribution. However, occasionally AB-negative individuals experienced zero frequencies of abortion more than 3 times. This could potentially be considered, as this blood type is exceptionally uncommon.

The slightly higher frequency of abortion among Rh-negative women, particularly A-, is medically relevant. Rh incompatibility can cause haemolytic disease of the fetus/newborn, leading to adverse pregnancy outcomes, particularly in women not receiving

anti-D immunoglobulin prophylaxis.[32] Therefore, ABO and especially Rh phenotypes should be carefully considered in prenatal care and counselling.

This finding is consistent with numerous studies indicating that there is no strong biological correlation between maternal ABO blood group types and the risk of recurrent miscarriage.[33]

Moreover, Parity Status, which indicates that nulliparous participants, who had never given birth before, formed approximately 22% of the total. An otherwise low parity. This was the most common condition, affecting 48.3% of the women, followed by medium parity, which impacted 26% of the women, and then high parity. Only 3.7% of women had high parity. O+ and A+ were again the largest groups across the parity categories. The distribution of parity shows that most women have low to medium fertility. High parity rates are low in the sample, possibly reflecting improvements in maternal healthcare, increased access to contraception, evolving socioeconomic conditions, or growing awareness of family planning (United Nations, 2019).[34] Medical research links high parity to increased maternal and perinatal risks, such as anaemia, hypertensive disorders, and postpartum haemorrhage.[35] There is no evidence of the effect of maternal blood type distribution on anthropological and sociodemographic characteristics, with the exception of maternal residence and no direct association with maternal age and gestational age.

#### Conclusion

This retrospective analysis, to our knowledge, provides the first comprehensive assessment of maternal blood group frequencies and their sociodemographic associations among women in labour in the province of Derna, Libya. The predominance of blood group O and Rh-positive status mirrors regional and global trends; however, the variation in blood group frequencies across residential regions highlights the importance of localised genetic diversity and its implications for maternal and newborn care. The research is noteworthy since it emphasises urban centres and their adjacent rural regions. The development of rural communities is markedly slower than that of urban areas. The genetic purity of insular tribal societies, distinguished by unique customs and traditions, including numerous consanguineous marriages, has sparked debate on their preservation of rare blood types, in contrast to densely populated urban areas. The cultural divergence and significant social interactions have led to the accumulation of rare genes. While no

significant associations with the number of parties or miscarriages were found, studies are needed to manage changes in the genetic makeup of the population and to enhance ongoing vigilance in the management of the Rh-negative minority to avoid preventable complications. These data offer critical information for improving prenatal screening and management of blood bank and blood product resources in eastern Libya.

**Table 1** Distribution of Maternal ABO and RH Phenotypes Among Different Residential Areas.

| Residence      | Maternal Blood Groups |        |       |        |       |        |       |        | Total  | P-value | Fingerprint of maternal blood groups  |
|----------------|-----------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|--------|---------|---------------------------------------|
|                | A +                   | A -    | B +   | B -    | AB +  | AB -   | O +   | O -    |        |         |                                       |
| Darna          | No.                   | 858    | 103   | 560    | 71    | 207    | 16    | 940    | 123    | 2878    |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 29.80% | 3.60% | 19.50% | 2.50% | 7.20%  | 0.60% | 32.70% | 4.30%  | 100.00% | O+>A+>B+>AB+>O->A->B->AB-             |
| Al Qubbah      | No.                   | 199    | 27    | 160    | 13    | 46     | 9     | 182    | 40     | 676     |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 29.40% | 4.00% | 23.70% | 1.90% | 6.80%  | 1.30% | 26.90% | 5.90%  | 100.00% | A+>O+>B+>AB+>O->A->B->AB-             |
| Ain Marah      | No.                   | 51     | 6     | 47     | 5     | 21     | 2     | 60     | 11     | 203     |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 25.10% | 3.00% | 23.20% | 2.50% | 10.30% | 1.00% | 29.60% | 5.40%  | 100.00% | O+>A+>B+>AB+>O->A->B->AB-             |
| Umm Al Razam   | No.                   | 104    | 11    | 39     | 11    | 13     | 5     | 109    | 16     | 308     |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 33.80% | 3.60% | 12.70% | 3.60% | 4.20%  | 1.60% | 35.40% | 5.20%  | 100.00% | O+>A+>B+>O->AB+>(A-B)->AB-            |
| Marutba        | No.                   | 65     | 15    | 69     | 7     | 20     | 0     | 90     | 9      | 275     |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 23.60% | 5.50% | 25.10% | 2.50% | 7.30%  | 0.00% | 32.70% | 3.30%  | 100.00% | O+>B+>A+>AB+>O->A->B-, NO AB-         |
| Fatah          | No.                   | 47     | 3     | 31     | 1     | 15     | 1     | 65     | 12     | 175     |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 26.90% | 1.70% | 17.70% | 0.60% | 8.60%  | 0.60% | 37.10% | 6.90%  | 100.00% | O+>A+>B+>AB+>O->(B-AB-)               |
| Qirsa (Karsa)  | No.                   | 29     | 3     | 10     | 0     | 7      | 1     | 42     | 5      | 97      |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 29.90% | 3.10% | 10.30% | 0.00% | 7.20%  | 1.00% | 43.30% | 5.20%  | 100.00% | O+>A+>B+>AB+>O->A->AB-, NO B-         |
| Soussa         | No.                   | 12     | 1     | 6      | 1     | 1      | 0     | 7      | 0      | 28      |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 42.90% | 3.60% | 21.40% | 3.60% | 3.60%  | 0.00% | 25.00% | 0.00%  | 100.00% | A+>O+>B+>(AB+>A-B)->NO AB-, O-        |
| Tamini         | No.                   | 18     | 2     | 13     | 0     | 0      | 0     | 23     | 5      | 61      |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 29.50% | 3.30% | 21.30% | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 37.70% | 8.20%  | 100.00% | O+>A+>B+>O->A-, NO B-, AB+, AB-       |
| Qayqab         | No.                   | 3      | 0     | 9      | 0     | 1      | 0     | 6      | 0      | 19      |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 15.80% | 0.00% | 47.40% | 0.00% | 5.30%  | 0.00% | 31.60% | 0.00%  | 100.00% | B+>O+>A+>AB+>NO A-, B-, AB-, O-       |
| Tobruk         | No.                   | 4      | 0     | 4      | 1     | 0      | 2     | 2      | 0      | 13      |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 30.80% | 0.00% | 30.80% | 7.70% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 15.40% | 0.00%  | 100.00% | (A+B+)>(O+>AB-)>B->, NO A-, AB-, O-   |
| Benghazi       | No.                   | 4      | 0     | 0      | 0     | 0      | 0     | 7      | 0      | 11      |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 36.40% | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 63.60% | 0.00%  | 100.00% | O+>A+>NO B+, AB+, A-, B-, O-, AB-     |
| Ezilyat        | No.                   | 9      | 0     | 4      | 1     | 2      | 1     | 15     | 1      | 33      |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 27.30% | 0.00% | 12.10% | 3.00% | 6.10%  | 3.00% | 45.50% | 3.00%  | 100.00% | O+>A+>B+>AB+>(O-AB-B-), NO A-         |
| Makhili        | No.                   | 2      | 0     | 0      | 0     | 0      | 0     | 1      | 0      | 3       |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 66.70% | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 33.30% | 0.00%  | 100.00% | A+>O+>NO B+, AB+, A-, B-, AB-, O-     |
| Shahat         | No.                   | 2      | 0     | 2      | 0     | 0      | 0     | 4      | 1      | 9       |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 22.20% | 0.00% | 22.20% | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 44.40% | 11.10% | 100.00% | O+>(A+B-)>O-, NO AB+, A-, B-, AB-     |
| Dhuhr el Hamer | No.                   | 2      | 0     | 2      | 0     | 0      | 0     | 6      | 0      | 10      |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 20.00% | 0.00% | 20.00% | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 60.00% | 0.00%  | 100.00% | O+>(A+B-), NO AB+, A-, B-, AB-, O-    |
| Ras el Hital   | No.                   | 14     | 0     | 6      | 1     | 0      | 0     | 11     | 2      | 34      |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 41.20% | 0.00% | 17.60% | 2.90% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 32.40% | 5.90%  | 100.00% | A+>O+>B+>O->B-, NO AB+, AB-, A-       |
| Athrun         | No.                   | 12     | 0     | 4      | 0     | 5      | 1     | 10     | 0      | 32      |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 37.50% | 0.00% | 12.50% | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 3.10% | 31.30% | 0.00%  | 100.00% | A+>O+>AB+>B+>AB->NO A-, B-, O-        |
| El Beida       | No.                   | 8      | 1     | 3      | 2     | 0      | 0     | 6      | 2      | 22      |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 36.40% | 4.50% | 13.60% | 9.10% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 27.30% | 9.10%  | 100.00% | A+>O+>B+>(B-O-)>NO AB+, AB-           |
| Khalg el-Bomba | No.                   | 16     | 2     | 15     | 1     | 2      | 0     | 26     | 2      | 64      |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 25.00% | 3.10% | 23.40% | 1.60% | 3.10%  | 0.00% | 40.60% | 3.10%  | 100.00% | O+>A+>B+>(AB+>A-O-)>B-, NO AB-        |
| Al-Dabusya     | No.                   | 3      | 2     | 3      | 0     | 0      | 1     | 4      | 1      | 14      |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 21.40% | 14.3% | 21.40% | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 7.10% | 28.60% | 7.10%  | 100.00% | O+>(A+B-)>A->(AB-O-), NO B-, AB-      |
| Lamlouda       | No.                   | 2      | 1     | 2      | 0     | 1      | 0     | 3      | 0      | 9       |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 22.20% | 11.1% | 22.20% | 0.00% | 11.1%  | 0.00% | 33.30% | 0.00%  | 100.00% | O+>(A+B-)>AB+>(A-AB-), NO B-, AB-, O- |
| Al-Abrq        | No.                   | 8      | 0     | 1      | 0     | 2      | 0     | 5      | 1      | 17      |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 47.10% | 0.00% | 5.90%  | 0.00% | 11.8%  | 0.00% | 29.40% | 5.90%  | 100.00% | A+>O+>AB+>(B+>O-)>NO A-, B-, AB-      |
| Beit Thamer    | No.                   | 3      | 0     | 2      | 0     | 1      | 0     | 1      | 0      | 7       |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 42.90% | 0.00% | 28.60% | 0.00% | 14.3%  | 0.00% | 14.30% | 0.00%  | 100.00% | A+>B+>(AB+>O-), NO A-, B-, AB-, O-    |
| Another region | No.                   | 4      | 1     | 4      | 1     | 1      | 0     | 2      | 1      | 14      |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 28.60% | 7.14% | 28.60% | 7.14% | 7.14%  | 0.00% | 14.30% | 7.14%  | 100.00% | A+>O+>(B+>AB+>A-B-O-), NO AB-         |
| Total          | No.                   | 1479   | 178   | 996    | 116   | 345    | 39    | 1627   | 232    | 5012    |                                       |
|                | (%)                   | 29.50% | 3.60% | 19.90% | 2.30% | 6.90%  | 0.80% | 32.50% | 4.60%  | 100.00% | O+>A+>B+>AB+>O->A->B->AB-             |

Calculated value = 354.617 Degrees of freedom = 238 Tabular values 124.34




Figure 1 Maternal ABO and Rh Phenotypes Distribution among Derna City and The Surrounding Area In Eastern Libya.

**Table 2** Comparison of Maternal Demographic and Obstetric Variables Across Blood Group Phenotypes.

|                          | Maternal Age (Years) |            |             |         | Gestational Age (Week/Day) |                |                |         | Gravida |           |           |         |       |
|--------------------------|----------------------|------------|-------------|---------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------|
|                          | nsSD                 | 95% CI     | F.value     | P.value | nsSD                       | 95% CI         | F.value        | P.value | nsSD    | 95% CI    | F.value   | P.value |       |
| Maternal Blood groups(N) | A+(1479)             | 30.53±5.91 | 30.23-30.83 | 2.669   | 0.043                      | (38+4) ± (2+2) | (38+3) -(38-4) | 2.05    | 0.045   | 4.02±2.59 | 3.89-4.15 | 1.089   | 0.367 |
|                          | A-(178)              | 30.37±6.28 | 29.44-31.30 |         |                            | (38+5) ± (2+1) | (38+3) -(38+6) |         |         | 4.09±2.62 | 3.70-4.48 |         |       |
|                          | B+(996)              | 30.76±6.12 | 30.38-31.14 |         |                            | (38+3) ± (2+2) | (38+1) -(38+4) |         |         | 3.98±2.65 | 3.81-4.14 |         |       |
|                          | B-(116)              | 30.84±5.99 | 29.74-31.94 |         |                            | (38+5) ± (1+1) | (38+3) -(39+0) |         |         | 3.93±2.27 | 3.52-4.35 |         |       |
|                          | AB+(145)             | 30.98±6.15 | 30.33-31.64 |         |                            | (38+3) ± (2+0) | (38+2) -(38+5) |         |         | 4.14±2.66 | 3.86-4.42 |         |       |
|                          | AB-(39)              | 27.56±5.91 | 25.64-29.48 |         |                            | (38+4) ± (2+6) | (37-4) -(39+3) |         |         | 3.15±2.23 | 2.43-3.87 |         |       |
|                          | O+(1628)             | 30.56±6.05 | 30.26-30.85 |         |                            | (38+4) ± (2+0) | (38+4) -(38+5) |         |         | 4.12±2.47 | 4.00-4.24 |         |       |
|                          | O-(231)              | 31.13±6.00 | 30.35-31.91 |         |                            | (38+5) ± (2+0) | (38+4) -(39+0) |         |         | 4.09±2.56 | 3.76-4.43 |         |       |
|                          | Total(5012)          | 30.62±6.04 | 30.45-30.79 |         |                            | (38+5) ± (2+1) | (38+3) -(38+4) |         |         | 4.05±2.56 | 3.98-4.12 |         |       |
| Maternal Blood groups(N) | Parity               |            |             |         | Abortion                   |                |                |         |         |           |           |         |       |
|                          | nsSD                 | 95% CI     | F.value     | P.value | nsSD                       | 95% CI         | F.value        | P.value | Minimum | Maximum   |           |         |       |
|                          | A+(1479)             | 2.19±2.59  | 3.89-4.15   | 0.968   | 0.452                      | 0.67±1.25      | 0.61-0.74      | 1.238   | 0.278   | 0         | 13        |         |       |
|                          | A-(178)              | 2.19±2.62  | 3.70-4.48   |         |                            | 0.47±0.90      | 0.33-0.60      |         |         | 0         | 6         |         |       |
|                          | B+(996)              | 2.11±2.65  | 3.81-4.14   |         |                            | 0.62±1.11      | 0.55-0.69      |         |         | 0         | 14        |         |       |
|                          | B-(116)              | 2.62±2.27  | 3.52-4.35   |         |                            | 0.71±1.48      | 0.44-0.98      |         |         | 0         | 9         |         |       |
|                          | AB+(145)             | 2.37±2.66  | 3.86-4.42   |         |                            | 0.55±1.04      | 0.44-0.66      |         |         | 0         | 9         |         |       |
|                          | AB-(39)              | 2.69±2.23  | 2.43-3.87   |         |                            | 0.48±1.35      | 0.04-0.92      |         |         | 0         | 8         |         |       |
|                          | O+(1628)             | 2.19±2.47  | 4.00-4.24   |         |                            | 0.62±1.16      | 0.57-0.68      |         |         | 0         | 12        |         |       |
|                          | O-(231)              | 2.35±2.56  | 3.76-4.43   |         |                            | 0.74±2.66      | 0.39-1.08      |         |         | 0         | 38        |         |       |
| Total(5012)              | 2.15±2.56            | 3.98-4.12  | 0.63±1.28   |         |                            | 0.60-0.67      | 0              |         |         | 38        |           |         |       |

**Table 1 Relationship Between Maternal Blood Groups, Maternal Age Category, and Neonatal Birth Status.**

| MATERNAL BLOOD GROUPS | *MATERNAL AGE | NO (%)     | PRETERM |      | TERM |       | POSTTERM |      | CHI-SQUARE |
|-----------------------|---------------|------------|---------|------|------|-------|----------|------|------------|
|                       |               |            | No      | (%)  | No   | (%)   | No       | (%)  |            |
| A+                    | Young         | 342(23.1)  | 49      | 21.7 | 274  | 22    | 7        | 31.8 | <0.001     |
|                       | Middle        | 785(53.07) | 123     | 54.6 | 679  | 55.1  | 12       | 54.5 |            |
|                       | Lady          | 352(23.7)  | 53      | 23.5 | 279  | 23    | 3        | 16.6 |            |
|                       | Total         | 1479(100)  | 225     | 15.2 | 1232 | 83    | 22       | 1.5  |            |
| A-                    | Young         | 34(19.1)   | 8       | 16   | 35   | 27    | 0        | 0    |            |
|                       | Middle        | 107(60.1)  | 21      | 42   | 74   | 59.2  | 0        | 0    |            |
|                       | Lady          | 37(20.7)   | 21      | 42   | 19   | 14.8  | 0        | 0    |            |
|                       | Total         | 178(100)   | 50      | 28   | 128  | 72    | 0        | 0    |            |
| B+                    | Young         | 230(23.1)  | 36      | 22   | 194  | 23.6  | 1        | 11.1 |            |
|                       | Middle        | 521(52.4)  | 88      | 53   | 428  | 52.1  | 4        | 44.4 |            |
|                       | Lady          | 245(24.6)  | 42      | 25   | 199  | 24.3  | 4        | 44.4 |            |
|                       | Total         | 996(100)   | 166     | 16.6 | 821  | 82.4  | 9        | 0.9  |            |
| B-                    | Young         | 24(20.6)   | 5       | 29.4 | 24   | 24.7  | 0        | 0    |            |
|                       | middle        | 58(50.1)   | 6       | 35.3 | 48   | 49.5  | 2        | 100  |            |
|                       | Lady          | 34(29.3)   | 6       | 35.3 | 25   | 25.8  | 0        | 0    |            |
|                       | Total         | 116(100)   | 17      | 14.7 | 97   | 83.62 | 2        | 1.7  |            |
| AB+                   | Young         | 66(19.1)   | 11      | 23.4 | 65   | 21.8  | 0        | 0    |            |
|                       | middle        | 196(56.8)  | 22      | 46.8 | 159  | 53.4  | 0        | 0    |            |
|                       | Lady          | 83(24.1)   | 14      | 29.8 | 74   | 24.8  | 0        | 0    |            |
|                       | Total         | 345(100)   | 47      | 13.6 | 298  | 86.4  | 0        | 0    |            |
| AB-                   | Young         | 15(38.5)   | 3       | 50   | 12   | 37.5  | 0        | 0    |            |
|                       | middle        | 19(48.7)   | 2       | 33.4 | 16   | 50    | 1        | 100  |            |
|                       | Lady          | 5(12.8)    | 1       | 16.6 | 4    | 12.5  | 0        | 0    |            |
|                       | Total         | 39(100)    | 6       | 15.4 | 32   | 82.1  | 1        | 2.5  |            |
| O+                    | Young         | 373(22.9)  | 63      | 24.7 | 300  | 22.4  | 8        | 25   |            |
|                       | middle        | 848(52.1)  | 138     | 54.1 | 712  | 53.2  | 18       | 56.3 |            |
|                       | Lady          | 406(25)    | 54      | 21.2 | 328  | 24.4  | 6        | 18.7 |            |
|                       | Total         | 1627(100)  | 255     | 15.7 | 1340 | 82.3  | 32       | 2    |            |
| O-                    | Young         | 54(23.3)   | 3       | 12.5 | 39   | 19.2  | 1        | 20   |            |
|                       | middle        | 126(54.3)  | 13      | 54.2 | 115  | 56.7  | 4        | 80   |            |
|                       | Lady          | 52(22.4)   | 8       | 33.3 | 49   | 24.1  | 0        | 0    |            |
|                       | Total         | 232(100)   | 24      | 10.4 | 203  | 87.5  | 5        | 2.1  |            |
| TOTAL                 | Young         | 1138(22.7) | 205     | 27.9 | 922  | 21.9  | 11       | 15.5 |            |
|                       | middle        | 2686(53.6) | 393     | 53.5 | 2255 | 53.6  | 38       | 53.5 |            |
|                       | Lady          | 1188(23.7) | 137     | 18.6 | 1029 | 24.5  | 22       | 31   |            |
|                       | Total         | 5012(100)  | 735     | 14.7 | 4206 | 84    | 71       | 1.4  |            |

**Table 2 Frequency of Abortion and Parity Status among Women According to Maternal Blood Group Phenotypes.**

|                     | Maternal Blood Groups N (%) |          |           |          |           |          |           |           | Total      |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
|                     | A+                          | A-       | B+        | B-       | AB+       | AB-      | O+        | O-        |            |
| <i>Abortion</i>     |                             |          |           |          |           |          |           |           |            |
| <3 time             | 501(28.4)                   | 57(3.3)  | 342(19.4) | 43(2.4)  | 130(7.4)  | 6(0.3)   | 594(33.7) | 91(5.2)   | 1764(35.2) |
| 3 time              | 415(82.8)                   | 47(82.4) | 285(83.3) | 38(88.4) | 105(80.7) | 5(83.3)  | 484(81.5) | 73(80.2)  | 1452(82.3) |
| >3 times            | 49(9.8)                     | 5(8.8)   | 31(9.1)   | 3(6.9)   | 14(10.8)  | 1(16.7)  | 68(11.5)  | 14(15.4)  | 185(10.5)  |
| <i>Parity</i>       |                             |          |           |          |           |          |           |           |            |
| 0 (Nulliparous)     | 1479(                       | 178      | 996       | 116      | 345       | 39       | 1627      | 232       | 5012       |
| 1-3 (Low parity)    | 341(23)                     | 37(20.8) | 246(24.7) | 21(18.1) | 72(20.9)  | 13(33.3) | 323(19.8) | 54(23.2)  | 1107(22.1) |
| 4-6 (Medium parity) | 700(47.3)                   | 84(47.2) | 455(45.7) | 68(58.6) | 177(51.3) | 19(48.7) | 806(45.5) | 112(48.3) | 2421(84.3) |
| High parity=>7      | 380(25.7)                   | 54(30.3) | 254(25.5) | 24(20.7) | 82(23.8)  | 6(15.4)  | 444(27.3) | 57(24.6)  | 1301(26)   |
|                     | 58(4)                       | 3(1.7)   | 41(4.1)   | 3(2.6)   | 14(4.0)   | 1(2.6)   | 54(3.3)   | 9(3.9)    | 183(3.7)   |

## References:

- [1] Speiser, P. and F.G. Smekal, Karl Landsteiner: The Discoverer of the Blood Groups and a Pioneer in the Field of Immunology. 1975, Vienna: Brüder Hollinek.
- [2] Dean, L. and L. Dean, Blood groups and red cell antigens. Vol. 2. 2005: NCBI Bethesda.
- [3] Goldman, M., et al., International Forum regarding practices related to donor haemoglobin and iron. Vox sanguinis, 2016. 111(4): p. 449-455.
- [4] Storry, J., et al., International Society of Blood Transfusion Working Party on red cell immunogenetics and blood group terminology: Cancun report (2012). Vox sanguinis, 2014. 107(1): p. 90-96.
- [5] Garratty, G., et al., ABO and Rh (D) phenotype frequencies of different racial/ethnic groups in the United States. Transfusion, 2004. 44(5): p. 703-706.
- [6] Cavalli-Sforza, L.L., P. Menozzi, and A. Piazza, The history and geography of human genes. 1994: Princeton University Press.
- [7] Watkins, W., The ABO blood group system: historical background. Transfusion medicine, 2001. 11(4).
- [8] Mourant, A.E. and M.P.D. MAD Phil. DM], The distribution of the human blood groups. 1954.
- [9] YM, B., et al., A "new" blood group character related to the ABO system. Lancet (London, England), 1952. 1(6714): p. 903-904.

- [10] Bittles, A.H. and J.V. Neel, The costs of human inbreeding and their implications for variations at the DNA level. *Nature genetics*, 1994. 8(2): p. 117-121.
- [11] Akshaya, C. and D.D. Vickram, Distribution of ABO and Rhesus Blood groups among Type-2 Diabetic subjects. *International Journal of Preclinical and Clinical Research*, 2020.
- [12] Turfayah, M., A. Alshuwayhidi, and S. Ajroud, Prevalence of ABO and Rh Blood Group Phenotypes Among Pregnant Women. *African Journal of Advanced Pure and Applied Sciences (AJAPAS)*, 2025: p. 212-218.
- [13] Hajjej, A., et al., The genetic heterogeneity of Arab populations as inferred from HLA genes. *PloS one*, 2018. 13(3): p. e0192269.
- [14] El-Wahhab Skaik, Y.A., The Rh allele frequencies in Gaza city in Palestine. *Asian J Transfus Sci*, 2011. 5(2): p. 150-2.
- [15] Tang, Y., D. McCowan, and Y.-Q. Song, A miniaturized spectrometer for NMR relaxometry under extreme conditions. *Scientific Reports*, 2019. 9(1): p. 11174.
- [16] Garratty, G., Blood groups and disease: a historical perspective. *Transfus Med Rev*, 2000. 14(4): p. 291-301.
- [17] Bittles, A.H. and M.L. Black, Evolution in health and medicine Sackler colloquium: Consanguinity, human evolution, and complex diseases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 2010. 107 Suppl 1(Suppl 1): p. 1779-86.
- [18] Rom, E., et al., The Association Between Maternal ABO Blood Group and the Occurrence of Spontaneous Preterm Birth: A Retrospective Population-Based Cohort Study. *Reprod Sci*, 2024. 31(12): p. 3697-3704.
- [19] Phaloprakarn, C. and S. Tangjitgamol, Maternal ABO blood group and adverse pregnancy outcomes. *J Perinatol*, 2013. 33(2): p. 107-11.
- [20] Sajjan, R., et al., Frequency of ABO Blood Group in Pregnant Women and Its Correlation with Pregnancy-Related Complications. *Cureus*, 2021. 13(4): p. e14487.

- [21] Clark, P. and I.A. Greer, The influence of maternal Lewis, Secretor and ABO(H) blood groups on fetal growth restriction. *J Thromb Haemost*, 2011. 9(12): p. 2411-5.
- [22] Liu, F.H., et al., ABO and Rhesus blood groups and multiple health outcomes: an umbrella review of systematic reviews with meta-analyses of observational studies. *BMC Med*, 2024. 22(1): p. 206.
- [23] Ai, L., et al., ABO blood group and risk of malaria during pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Epidemiol Infect*, 2022. 150: p. e25.
- [24] Saigal, S. and L.W. Doyle, An overview of mortality and sequelae of preterm birth from infancy to adulthood. *Lancet*, 2008. 371(9608): p. 261-9.
- [25] Collaborators, G.B.D.C.M., Global, regional, national, and selected subnational levels of stillbirths, neonatal, infant, and under-5 mortality, 1980-2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet*, 2016. 388(10053): p. 1725-1774.
- [26] Mitrogiannis, I., et al., Risk factors for preterm birth: an umbrella review of meta-analyses of observational studies. *BMC Med*, 2023. 21(1): p. 494.
- [27] Neu, H.C., CNS infection. First things first. *Hosp Pract (Off Ed)*, 1985. 20(11A): p. 69-73, 76, 79, passim.
- [28] Zucker, L.M., Fat mobilization in vitro and in vivo in the genetically obese Zucker rat "fatty". *J Lipid Res*, 1972. 13(2): p. 234-43.
- [29] Balaouras, G., P. Eusebi, and P. Kostoulas, Systematic review and meta-analysis of the effect of ABO blood group on the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection. *PLoS One*, 2022. 17(7): p. e0271451.
- [30] Groot, H.E., et al., Genetically determined ABO blood group and its associations with health and disease. *Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology*, 2020. 40(3): p. 830-838.
- [31] Smith, J.A.e.a., Maternal ABO blood group and neonatal outcomes: A population-based cohort study. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 2020. 222(4): p. 389.e1-389.e9.

- [32] Moise, K.J., Jr., Management of rhesus alloimmunization in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*, 2008. 112(1): p. 164-76.
- [33] Runkel, B., et al., Targeted antenatal anti-D prophylaxis for RhD-negative pregnant women: a systematic review. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*, 2020. 20(1): p. 83.
- [34] Johnson, S.A., M.N. Kaggwa, and E. Lathrop, How it started, and how it's going: global family planning programs. *Clinical obstetrics and gynecology*, 2021. 64(3): p. 422-434.
- [35] Bale, J.R., et al., Improving birth outcomes: meeting the challenge in the developing world. 2003, Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press. xv, 354 p.